

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/987,357

Applicant(s)

CARMICHAEL ET AL.

Examiner

Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD

Art Unit

1636

All Participants:

(1) Gerald G. Leffers Jr., PhD.

(2) William Straus.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

Stricklin et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner confirmed to Mr. Straus the Notice/NonResponsive Amendment sent 2/3/04 was incorrect & the amendment filed 1/30/2004 was responsive, as per our conversation on or about 2/11/2004. Mr. Straus gave approval for an examiner's amendment to put claim 25 in condition for allowance.